Appendix to:

Consensus Guideline Recommendations for the Design of Clinical Trials in Spatially Fractionated Radiation Therapy for Head and Neck Cancer

Evidence Table - Literature Summary:

SFRT for Head & Neck Cancer

This collated literature table presents a summary of major pertinent studies that were considered in developing the recommendations. This summary table is structured based on study type, study objective, patient selection, SFRT and conventional radiation therapy parameters, SFRT technology and treatment outcome criteria.

Abbreviations:	
gr	= grade
LC	= local control
LR	= local recurrence
DSS	= disease-specific survival
PFS	= progression-free survival
OS	= overall survival
Tox	= toxicity
yr	= year
pts	= patients
*	= per author's communication

Abbreviati	Abbreviations:				
RR	= response rate				
PR	= partial response				
CR	= complete response				
NR	= no response				
cCR	= clinical complete response				
pCR	= pathologic complete response				
cERT	= Conventional radiation				
fr	= fraction				
n/a	= not applicable				
_	= no data				

Author, Year	Pt No. Sites	Objective s	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion
Mohiuddin M	61	Multiple	Study type:	RR: 91%	GRID sequencing:	GRID therapy results in high
et al.	(72 sites)	sites	Clinical trial	_	GRID only: 44%	(>90%) symptomatic tumor
Radiat Oncol	, ,			LC: Durable response in	GRID generally first	response rate, with minimal
nvst 1996;	GI: 18	Palliative	Study Population:	most pts w longer survival.	(40/72, pts with life	toxicity.
1:41-7)	Sarcoma: 12	only	Palliative only tx refractory	GRID >15 Gy: 100%	expectancy of >1 mo.):	,
•	GU: 9	,	Primarily large soft tissue	vs 79% RR	GRID + cERT	Dose response relationship:
	Gyn: 9		masses. 44/72 pts	cERT <u>></u> 40 Gy: 100%		High cumulative GRID and
reated:	Melanoma: 5		abdomen/pelvis	vs 92% RR	GRID method:	cERT doses are needed for
~1990-1995	H&N (SCCa):		24% (17 sites) had prior		Block (50% open)	satisfactory CR rates:
	4		RT (12.6-79 Gy)	DSS: -	6, 24MV	GRID dose ≥15 Gy:
	Lung: 1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Single field	higher RR, CR,
	Breast: 2		Outcome Measures:	OS:		cERT DRR >40 Gy:
	Thyroid: 4		Palliation (pain, bleeding,	27/71 pts: 3-28 mo.	GRID dose: 10-15/1 (for	higher RR, CR.
	,		mass effects): RR, CR, PR,	10/71 pts: survived >1 yr	GRID+ cERT)	
			NR	, ,	15-25/1 for GRID only)	Response by tumor type:
			Tox (EORTC grading)	Toxicity:	to Dmax	Best RR in sarcoma (94%) an
			, , ,	No grade 2 or higher tox		SCCa (92%); least RR in
			Technique: Block	No bowel tox despite 44	cERT dose: (in 44/72)	adenocarcinoma (69%).
				pts w abdom/pelvis tx	wide range; 78 Gy	, ,
			Follow-up:	(1 bowel obstruction due		Parallelism of GRID therapy
			0.5-28 mo (d/t adv stg)	to tumor at laparotomy)	Dose to periphery: -	with brachytherapy, enablin
			10 pts alive ≥ 1 yr	, ,,		delivery of high doses to small
			/		OAR dose: –	volumes with modest doses
						over a larger volumes of
					Concurr tx: No	tissue.

Author, Year	Pt No.	Objective	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion
	Sites	s				
Mohiuddin M et al. (IJROBP 1999;45:721-7) Treated: 1/1995-3/1998			Study type: Retrospective Study Population: Palliative: 89% (63/71) Advanced, definitive: ENT, 11% (8/71) Tumor >8 cm Prior RT: 9% (8/87 sites) Outcome Measures: RR Pts who died during/within 1 mo. of tx (7) inevaluable for RR, but included in toxicity analysis. Path response (8 pts) Technique: Block Follow-up: 7 (3-42) mo.	RR: 76% Palliative pts: 78% CCR 62% (5/8 defintive pts) pCR 50% (4/8 defintive pts) GRID dose >15 Gy: RR 94 vs 62% (p002) CERT DRR >40 Gy: 0 Gy: 86%, 0% (RR, CR) <40 Gy: 91%, 13% (RR, CR) ≥ 0 Gy: 94%, 24% (RR, CR) LC: − DSS: − Toxicity: 1 gr 3 (mucositis) 1 gr 5 (carotid blowout) during tx (rapid tumor lysis)	GRID sequencing: Only: 20% (14/71) GRID, then cERT 66%(47/91) GRID method: Block (50% open) 6, 18 MV GRID dose: 10-20 Gy/1 median: 15 Gy/1 to 10-12 Gy (for prior RT), at Dmax CERT dose: Definitive pts (8): 50-70 Gy Palliative pts: — Dose to periphery: — OAR dose: — Concurr tx: No	High response, low toxicity. Dose response relationship: Validating the results from Mohiuddin et al. (Radiat Oncol Invst 1996): GRID dose >15 Gy: Higher RR. CERT DRR >40 Gy: Higher RR, CR. Response by tumor site: SCCa had better CR (29%). Sarcoma (11%) had worse RR: larger tumors (>20 cm) and early death.

Author, Year	Pt No.	Objective	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion
•	Sites	s				
Neuner G	79	Multiple,	Study type:	RR: Block vs MLC	GRID sequencing:	High symptom response rate;
et <i>al.</i>		Palliative	Retrospective review	Pain: 75% 74%	Only: 20%(palliative pts)	no difference in response
(2012;82(5):16		77%		Mass eff: 67% 73%	First: 72%, with 1-2 day	between Block vs MLC based
42-9)	Lung: 18	Most lung,	Study Population:	Bleeding: 50%, 80%	gap to cERT	GRID.
	H&N: 14	H&N	Bulky, median 7.6 cm (4-10	Other symptoms: high	In early cERT: 8%	
	Sarcoma:14		cm)	response.		No difference in imaging
<u>Treated</u> :	Liver: 6	Curative:	Most lung, H&N, Sarc		GRID method:	response for Block vs MLC
2003-2008	Skin: 5	23%		Imaging RR (CR+PR):	- Block	based GRID therapy.
	Breast: 4	Most	Most common tx site: neck	Block vs MLC	- MLC: average open/	
	Colon/	lung,H&N		27% 32%	closed ratio 0.31.	Low toxicity rates.
	Anus: 5	Pre-op RT	Outcome Measures:			
	Kidney: 3	4 pts	Symptom response:	<u>LC</u> : –	GRID dose: 10-20 Gy	Ease and efficacy of MLC-
	Thyroid: 3		CR= complete resolution		(median 15 Gy)/ 1 fr	based GRID may enable more
	Esoph: 2		PR= any improvement	DSS: -	Block: at Dmax	widespread adoption of SFRT.
	Lymph: 2		NR= no improvement or		MLC: GTV, no expansion	
	Prostate:1		progression	OS: 29% (23/79)		
	Ovary: 1		Imaging response (n=40):	(study not intended to	<u>cERT dose</u> : <u>></u> 35-40 Gy,	
	Unknown:1		RECIST	report survival)	No dose reduction for	
				,	GRID, (e.g. 70.2 Gy for	
			Technique:	Median survival:	H&N), but nl tissue dose	
			Retrospective comparison	2.2 mo. (Block)	reduction	
			of Block vs. MLC	4.1 mo. (MLC), p=NS		
				, , , , , ,	Dose to periphery: -	
			Follow-up:	Toxicity:		
			2 (0-51.6) mo.	2 early gr4 (skin)	OAR dose: Blocking of	
			28% (22 pts) lost to f/u	3 late gr3-4 (skin)	neural structures,	
					kidney, GI tract, heart;	
				Early:	minimizing exit dose	
				2 pts Grade 4 dermatitis		
				Late:	Concurr tx:	
				3 pts: late gr3-4: chronic	H&N ca/curative:	
				skin ulceration p cERT	GRID 15 Gy, 1-2 d break,	
				dose of 40 Gy, 45 Gy, 60	then definitive cERT	
				Gy (2/3 pts with skin	70.2 Gy + chemotx (type	
				involvement)	not reported)	

	Head and Neck Cancer Specific Studies							
Author, Year	Pt No. Sites	Objective s	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion		
Huhn J et al. (TechnCaResTx 2006;5:607-12) Treated: 7/1995-12/2002	Oral cav: 5 Oroph: 14 Nasoph: 1 Hypoph: 1 Unknown:5 Oral cav +hypoph: 1	H&N ca Advanced neck disease 2 groups: Definitve RT (14) Pre-op RT (13)	Study type: Clinical Trial Study Population: H&N SCCa, Bulky N2-3 neck disease RT (14 pts): med tumor size 7 (6-10) cm Pre-op RT (13 pts); med 8 (6-13) cm Outcome Measures: LC, DSS, Tox Technique: GRID Follow-up: RT: 10 (3-44) mo Pre-op RT: 38 (5-116) mo.	RR: Pre-op RT: pCR 85% LC: (regional/neck): RT: 93% (13/14) Pre-op RT: 92% (12/13) LC/regional rate (overall): RT: 86% Pre-op RT: 92% (12/13) DSS: (3-yr) RT: 50% Pre-op RT: 85% OS: RT: 21% (3/14) Pre-op RT: 62% (8/13) @ 116 mo. Toxicity: Definitive RT: Early: gr 2-3 skin (#'s NR) Late: no gr 3	GRID sequencing: GRID first GRID method: Block GRID dose: 15/1; 1 pt with 20 Gy/1 at Dmax To neck disease only GRID field off cord CERT dose: RT/definitive pts (8): median 70 (68-79) Gy RT/ non-definitive (6): median 59 (54-60) Gy Preop RT: median 59.4 (54-72) Gy Hyperfx or accel fx/ concomitant boost: 7/27 Dose to periphery: — OAR dose: Cord excluded from GRID tx	Very high complete pathologic response rate (185%) for locally advanced neck involvement in H&N cancer. First study to assess pathologic response to GRID and its impact on subsequent local control. High regional control in the neck with pre-op and definitive radiation. High survival in preoperative group. Surgical approach was feasible and required no alteration. Manageable toxicity, including would healing complications. Appraisal: Chemo type not reported		
				Pre-op RT: 3 wound healing complications	Concurr tx: during cERT: 7/27 (type not reported)			

Author, Year	Pt No.	Objective	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion
	Sites	s				
Penagaricano J et <i>al</i> . (IJROBP 2010;76:1369-75) Treated: 2005-2007*	Tonsil: 4 Retromol trigone: 1 Base of tongue: 3 Larynx: 2 Nasoph: 1 Maxillary sinus: 2 Paotid: 1	SCCa H&N Definitive RT, concurrent chemo- therapy	Study type: Clinical trial Study Population: Bulky H&N ca, >6 cm Outcome Measures: LC, DSS, OS, Tox (RTOG criteria) Technique: GRID Follow-up: 19 (2-38) mo. 10/14 pts f/u >1 yr	RR: pCR in 8/10 pts (per resection path or biopsy of GRID volume) LC: crude 79% (11/14) in-field control; no local recurrence in GRID volume DSS: crude 79% (11/14) OS: 10/14 (1 patient from death of disease) Toxicity: Acute: gr 3 (skin) 7/14 gr 3 (mucosal): 4/14 Late: gr 3 (fibrosis): 1/14 PEG dependent (trismus): 2/14 Gr 5 carotid blowout p neck dissection (at 10 mo.): 1/14 Xerostomia: —	GRID sequencing: First, cERT next day GRID method: MLC, ~50%open GRID dose: 20 Gy/1 fr to Grid-GTV (=bulky, ≥6 cm disease, primary or nodes with no expansion) cERT dose: SIB-IMRT/ simultaneous integrated boost (SIB): 66 Gy/ 30 fr. PTV: 66 Gy Intermed-risk PTV: 60 Gy Low-risk PTV: 54 Gy Dose to periphery: — OAR dose: Exclusion of spinal cord, brain stem Concurr tx: Full-dose chemotx, most Carboplatin/Docetaxel; 1 pt with 5FU Chemotherapy started on the day of GRID fr*	Uniformly treated cohort, all with concurrent chemotx. Chemotherapy also given chemo also during GRID fraction. High response rate, pathologic complete response and local control with no local recurrence within the treated GRID volume. Higher acute skin toxicity. One gr 5 carotid complication (that might be also related to surgical technique). Mucosal toxicity similar to chemotherapy/IMRT series.

Author, Year	Pt No.	Objective	Methods	Results	Dose/ Spatial Fx	Conclusion
•	Sites	s				
Choi JI et <i>al.</i>	21	H&N ca	Study type:	RR:	GRID sequencing:	
			Retrospective	Definitive*:	First, cERT start 1-3 d	SFRT feasible in definitive and
(Cureus 2019;	(primary	9		CR 4/9	after GRID	Palliative setting for large H&N
;11(5):e4637.	sites not	definitive	Study Population:	PR 1/9		tumors.
doi:	reported)	12	Bulky H&N ca, >5 cm;	Symptom response 8/9	GRID method:	
10.7759/cureu		palliative	5-25 cm, median 9.5 cm	* 1 pt completed only 1	MLC (before 2008)	Excellent clinical response
s.4637)		intent		cERT fraction	Block (2009-15)	with SFRT, cERT and
			Definitive:			chemotherapy.
			All SCCa	Palliative:	GRID dose: 15 Gy/1	
<u>Treated</u> :		9 definite		CR 0/12	20 Gy/1 - 5 pts	Treatment toxicity acceptable.
2007-2015		pts:	Palliative:	PR 5/12	(2010-11)	
			Most SCCa	Symptom response 6/12		Need for careful patient
		Definitive			cERT dose:	selection to identify pts who
		RT,	Outcome Measures:	If received <75% of dose,	Definitive: IMRT	tolerate a full course cERT
		concurrent	RR, symptom response,	only 25% symptom	69.96 - 72.08 Gy	following SFRT.
		chemo-	Tox (RTOG criteria)	response.	at 2.12 Gy/ fr	
		therapy			Palliative: 25 Gy/ 10 fr -	
				<u>LC</u> : –	78 Gy/39 fr	
			Technique:			
			GRID	<u>DSS</u> : –	Dose to periphery: –	
			Follow-up:	OS:	OAD dage.	
			7 (4-16) mo.	<u>OS</u> . Definitive:	OAR dose: Maximal avoidance of	
			(definitive pts)	7/9 alive at median 7 mo.		
			(definitive pts)	7/9 alive at median 7 mo.	mandible, spinal cord,	
				Toxicity:	brainstem, brain,	
					brachioplexus). Travers-	
				Skin gr >3: 5/21 (total) gr 5: 4 /21	ing smallest possible skin	
				gr 5: 4/21	to GTV separation.	
				Bleeding: 3/21	Concurr tx:	
				(2 required	In all definitive pts.	
				hospitalization)	Start after the GRID fr.	
					Cisplatin (9), Cispl+Taxol	
				No gr ≥3mucous	or Etoposide (5),	
				membrane tox	Cetuximab (2)	